

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/668,071	09/22/2000	Kouji Fujiwara	49940(868)	1421	
75	90 04/22/2002				
Dike, Bronstein, Roberts & Cushman			EXAMINER		
EDWARDS & A			NGUYEN, HAU H		
Boston, MA 02	2209		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2674		
			DATE MAILED: 04/22/2002	DATE MAILED: 04/22/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		09/668,071	Applicant(s)	/
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	FUJIWARA ET AL.	
	•		Art Unit	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Hau H Nguyen	2674	
THE - Exte after - If the	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut	136(a). In no event, howe	ever, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.	cation.
- Any r	reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ng date of this communica	tion, even if timely filed, may reduce any	
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	September 2000		
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ TI	his action is non-fi	nal.	
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	ance except for for for Ex parte Quayle,	rmal matters, prosecution as to the mer 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	its is
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consider	ation.	
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.			
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election require	ment.	
9) 🗌 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) 🔲 🗆	Fhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b)☐ objecte	ed to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held	d in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🔲 🏾	The proposed drawing correction filed on		d b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office act	ion.	
12) 🔲 7	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)🖂	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been recei	ved.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been recei	ved in Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti			ation)
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional applicatio	n has been received.	
Attachment			. 00 1=3 = 1 = 1	
2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🗌	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:	- ·
S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		tion Summary	Part of Paper	Nn 2

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kozaki.

Referring to claim 1-3 and 8, Kozaki discloses an image display device 6 comprising a transmission type liquid crystal panel 1 for displaying an image, a liquid crystal shutter 4 acting to transmit or shut external light as shown in Fig. 1, and a control circuit driving the liquid crystal panel 1 and the liquid crystal shutter 4 (see Fig. 2 and column 3, lines 15-21). During display operation, in which an image is being displayed on the liquid-crystal screen, the liquid crystal shutter 4 operates to shut incident light L so that the light L is not transmitted (see column 3, lines 36-39). During not-display operation in which no image is displayed on the liquid

Art Unit: 2674

crystal screen, the liquid-crystal shutter 4 operates to allow the incident external light L to be transmitted (see column 3, lines 49-51).

In regard to claim 4, Kozaki teach the liquid crystal display may not use back light for light source, or external light is used for back light (see column 4, lines 8-11), therefore the liquid crystal image display device can be of reflection type liquid crystal.

Referring to claim 5, Kozaki teaches the liquid crystal shutter may have such a structure that the shutter portion is divided into a plurality of divisional portions so that light can be transmitted selectively partly through any of the divisional portions (see column 3, lines 3-6). Therefore, the liquid crystal shutter 4 can be arranged into portions in which light transmitted portions and light shut portions are disposed alternately, and can be fabricated in an endless belt shape.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kozaki.

Since liquid crystal projection device is well known in the art, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add an optical system to the liquid crystal image display device taught by Kozaki in order to magnify and projecting light.

Art Unit: 2674

5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kozaki in view of Takahashi et al.

Kozaki teaches all the limitations of claim 7, as applied to claim 1 above, except for the image display device carries out image display in synchronization with a vertical sync signal having constant cycle and the shield member is driven in synchronization with vertical sync signal. However, Takahashi et al. teach a control circuit 9 in the converting unit 5 is supplied with a vertical synchronous signal V-SYNC from the image pick-up 4 and the vertical sync has a constant cycle as shown in Fig. 7 and 8. Therefore it would have been obvious to add the control circuit taught by Takahashi et al. into the driving circuit taught by Kozaki in order for the circuit to be able to write image to the memory device (column 6, lines 8-10) or to obtain a static color picture (column 6, lines 29-31).

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892 form.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hau H. Nguyen whose telephone number is: 703-305-4104. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-FRI from 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hierpe can be reached on 703-305-4709.

Art Unit: 2674

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D. C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered response should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

H. Nguyen

04/11/2002

RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600